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## Turing Machines

Turing Machines model the action of a computer. The main parts of a Turing machine are a way to Turing Machines model the action of a computer. The main parts of a Turing machine are a way to
store data, originally (abstractly) thought of as an infinitely long tape, and a set of rules that allow the conditional change of that data. The starting state of the tape is thought of as the input of the code, and the resulting state is the output. Different programs are then made by changing the rules. Conway's FRACTRAN is a very clever example of a Turing complete Programming language The significance of this Turing machine is the entirety of the data, input, and output, and the data itself is stored in a finite set of fractions and a single integer
The key property of numbers FRACTRAN exploits is that prime numbers are the "atoms" of inte gers. In fact, each integer can be uniquely decomposed in terms of its prime factors.

Every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ admits a unique prime decomposition $n=\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$
For instance, $76=4.19=2^{2} .19$. Conway's simple idea is to encode a Turing machine using only fractions. Start with $N$. This admits a prime factor decomposition. Each power of the prime appearing in $N$ tells us the initial state of our system: it tells us what is in each registe.

The number $24,500=2^{2} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 7^{2}$ encodes three registers 2,5 , and 7 , with values 2,3 , and 2 , respectively.
Now multiply N by a fraction $f_{i}$ so that $f_{i} N$ is also a whole number: if we take the prime factor decomposition of the numerator and denominator of $f_{i}$, we have that $f_{i} N \in \mathbb{N}$ if, and only if, the powers appearing in the prime decomposition of $N$ have been redistributed

Take $N=24=2^{3} \cdot 3^{1}=2$ and consider $f_{1}=\frac{7}{3}$. Then $f \cdot N=56=2^{3} 7^{1}$. We have transferred Take $N=24=2^{3} \cdot 3^{1}=2$ and conside

## How to play FRACTRAN

To play FRACTRAN, we need an initial state (a stored number) $N \in \mathbb{N}$ which is in our register and a fixed list of fractions $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right.$. $\}$. Compute $f_{i} N$, with $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, until we reach the first instance where $f_{i} N \in \mathbb{N}$. Now change the register to $f_{i} N$ and iterate.
In practice, we think of the game as a flowchart that proceeds from one node (state) to another To indicate where to go, the nodes are connected by arrows with a well-defined hierarchy. The hierarchy is as follows.
$\rightarrow \rightarrow-\infty$
These arrows are then labeled with fractions which tell us how to multiply our registe number There is a well-understood algorithm to convert this flowchart into a list of fractions.


This visually indicated our FRACTRAN game: every time we go around the loop, we multiply $N$ by $\frac{2}{3}$. It is easy to see this game ends with output $2^{a+b}$

Our Fractran code is easy to derive in this example: $N=2^{a} 3^{b}$ is our initial state and $\left\{\frac{2}{3}\right\}$ is our list of fractions.

PIGAME
SQRT2GAME


When started at $2^{n} \cdot 89$, the FRACTRAN code
$365 \quad 29 \quad 7967931598347363843489 \quad 17 \quad 79 \quad 31415171113052373$
$\left\{\frac{35}{46} \frac{29}{161} \frac{755}{551} \frac{413}{407} \frac{871}{355} \frac{34}{335} \frac{89}{235} \frac{17}{209} \frac{12}{122} \frac{11}{185} \frac{17}{89} \frac{11}{83} \frac{305}{79} \frac{23}{73} \frac{73}{71}\right.$ 613719894183353861323677183475
59
6 41111111 $\frac{61}{67} \frac{51}{61} \frac{1}{59} \frac{41}{57} \frac{43}{47} \frac{53}{43} \frac{86}{41} \frac{1}{38} \frac{23}{37} \frac{67}{31} \frac{71}{29} \frac{8}{19} \frac{475}{17} \frac{59}{13} \frac{41}{291} \frac{1}{7} \frac{1}{11} \frac{1}{1024} \frac{1}{97}$
will terminate at $2^{\pi(n)}$, where $\pi(n)$ is the $n$-th digit in the decimal expansion of $\pi$

## Discussion of Conways Proof

The flowchart helps one visualize the three key steps of Conway's construction. For a fixed $n$, our goal is to find the $n$-the visualize the three key steps of Conway's construction. For a fixed $n$, our goal is to find the $n$-th digit of the decimal expansion of $\pi$. From node 89 until node 83 (Phase Node 41), Conway constructs two numbers
. The key idea is to use Wallis' product to approximate $\pi$ which dates from 1655 . Namely

$$
\pi=\lim _{E \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{E}}{D_{E}}=2\left(\frac{2}{1}\right)\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)\left(\frac{6}{5}\right) .
$$

In Phase 3 (Node 41 to the end) Conway computes the integer part

## $\frac{10^{n} N_{E}}{D_{E}}$

Multiplying by $10^{n}$ shifts the decimal unit of $\frac{N_{E}}{D_{E}}$ exactly $n$ places to the right. Taking the floor function turns this into an integer, and reducing mod 10 allows us to find what was the $n$-th term in the decimal expansion of $\pi$. There are several subtle issues here: one has to compute explicitly how close $\pi_{E}=\frac{N_{E}}{D_{E}}$ is to $\pi$ since it is just an approximation. Another problem comes from the well-known fact that $1=0.9999 \ldots$. This means two numbers can be very close together but
have differing decimal expansions. Conway's argument to show this cannot happen uses Mähler's famous irrationality measure of $\pi$, a difficult piece of mathematics. We found an elementary proof which also adapts directly to our main theorem. A notable advantage of the language is that one can compute the Gödel number of any computation explicitly: Conway does this for PIGAME.


When started at $2^{n} \cdot 89$, the Fractran code
$\left\{\frac{143}{89}, \frac{89}{565}, \frac{833}{113}, \frac{475}{17}, \frac{17}{209}, \frac{89}{57}, \frac{13}{38}, \frac{83}{13}, \frac{109}{13}, \frac{3159}{763}, \frac{19}{109}, \frac{501}{83}, \frac{83}{1837}, \frac{54575}{167}, \frac{23}{37}\right.$
 $\frac{35}{46}, \frac{3}{161}, \frac{7}{14375}, \frac{41}{575}, \frac{23}{73}, \frac{1}{29}, \frac{233}{4189}, \frac{38}{355}, \frac{73}{71}, \frac{71}{101}, \frac{1527}{79}, \frac{79}{122}$
$\frac{31}{183}, \frac{2921}{11041}, \frac{37}{61}, \frac{67}{31}, \frac{434}{335}, \frac{249787}{335}, \frac{539923}{1541}, \frac{131}{2479}, \frac{149}{1273}, \frac{61}{67}, \frac{61}{131}, \frac{418723}{2533}, \frac{2941}{7097}$,
$\left.\frac{67}{151}, \frac{151}{173}, \frac{7}{451}, \frac{129}{41}, \frac{97}{3}, \frac{97}{5}, \frac{97}{7}, \frac{97}{20000000000}, \frac{97}{13}, \frac{97}{17}, \frac{97}{19}, \frac{97}{23}, \frac{41}{1}, \frac{1}{97}, \frac{53}{43}, \frac{172}{371}, \frac{41}{265}\right\}$
will terminate at $2 \sqrt{2}(n)$, where $\sqrt{2}(n)$ is the $n$-th digit in the decimal expansion of $\sqrt{2}$.

## Discussion of Proof

It is obvious from the flowchert that our proof is based on Conway's. The starting point is there s innite product formula du to Catalan in 1874 [Cat] for $\sqrt{2}$ which is very similar to Wallis formula: viz.

$$
\sqrt{2}=\left(\frac{2^{2}}{1.3}\right)\left(\frac{6^{2}}{5.7}\right)\left(\frac{10^{2}}{9.11}\right) .
$$

t is easy to adjust Conway's proof so that the terms in $N_{E}$ go down by 4 instead of 2. It is significantly harder to generate the new denominator, as it has a different form. One also has
to compute how close the approximation $\sqrt{2} E$ is to $\sqrt{2}$ and finally worry about this issue of numbers arbitrarily close togehter having very different decimal expansions. We can adapt our new argument from Conway's PIGAME to this setting to conclude our proof.
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